



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/662,344	09/16/2003	Norimasa Nagasawa	D-1489	2067	
32628	7590 04/26/2005		EXAMINER		
HAUPTMAN KANESAKA BERNER PATENT AGENTS			SAETHER, FLEMMING		
SUITE 300, 1700 DIAGONAL RD ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314-2848			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3677		
			DATE MAILED: 04/26/200	DATE MAILED: 04/26/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/662,344	NAGASAWA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Flemming Saether	3677		

	Flemming Saether	3677					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 12 April 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folio places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or				
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of	the final rejection.					
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on peen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened states above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month pearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must I 	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	, to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.				
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>	•	·	•				
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO		because				
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or 	• •	educing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		jected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	: (PTOL-324).				
5. 🔲 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof the things of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,6,7 and 10-13</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appeliant fa	ils to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control of the contr	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	ched.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by the examiner argees that the instant invention is differe examiner maintains that the claims continue to to read	nt that of the prior art in regards to						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).		No(s).					
13. Other:			1				
		Flemming Saether					
	//	Primary Examiner					

L

Part of Paper No. 20050418